

A

Purpose: This rubric is for assessing conference bursary applications from library and information science students and Irish library staff.

Total Points: 20 points maximum (10 points per criterion)

Reviewers: Each application should be assessed by 2 independent reviewers

Criterion 1: Reasons for Attending		
Score Range	Description	Indicators
9-10 points (Excellent)	Compelling, specific reasons clearly aligned with professional development goals. Strong connection to Irish library context. Engagement with conference themes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific learning objectives stated • Clear career/professional development link • Demonstrates understanding of conference content • Relevant to Irish library sector
7-8 points (Very Good)	Clear reasons for attending with good professional relevance. Shows engagement with conference themes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good professional rationale • Demonstrates interest in key topics • Some connection to career goals • Relevant to library work
5-6 points (Good)	Adequate reasons provided. Shows general interest but lacks specificity or clear connection to professional development.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General interest in conference • Basic professional relevance • Limited detail on specific goals • Some vague statements
3-4 points (Fair)	Vague or generic reasons. Minimal connection to professional development or conference content.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generic statements only • Unclear professional benefit • Limited engagement with themes • Mostly generic content

0-2 points (Poor)	Insufficient, irrelevant, or no clear reasons provided.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No clear reasons • Irrelevant to conference • No professional connection • Extremely brief/inadequate
----------------------	---	--

Criterion 2: Interest in Conference Themes

Score Range	Description	Indicators
9-10 points (Excellent)	Demonstrates deep, specific interest in conference themes with clear understanding of their relevance to Irish librarianship.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identifies specific themes/topics • Shows understanding of theme content • Explains personal/professional relevance • Connects to current library issues
7-8 points (Very Good)	Shows strong interest in conference themes with good understanding of content and relevance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mentions specific themes • Shows genuine engagement • Good awareness of relevance • Makes relevant connections
5-6 points (Good)	Demonstrates general interest in themes but lacks depth or specificity in explanation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General theme awareness • Basic relevance explained • Limited specific examples • Some engagement shown
3-4 points (Fair)	Minimal engagement with conference themes. Vague or superficial interest expressed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very general statements • Limited theme knowledge • Weak connections made • Superficial engagement
0-2 points (Poor)	No meaningful engagement with conference themes. Generic or no response.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No specific themes mentioned • No understanding shown • Generic/irrelevant response • Inadequate content